JAN-26-2007 07:56

PROCTER & GAMBLE

JAN 2 6 2007

513 634 3007

P.01/09

Procter & Gamble - I.P. Division

IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally protected. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect) to arrange for return of the telecopied document to us.

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET AND

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

TO: United States Patent and Trademark Office
Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Examiner Michele M. Kidwell

Fax No. 571-273-8300

Phone No. 571-272-4935

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 26, 2007, to the above-identified facsimile number.

FROM: Barbara A. Carver (Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate)

Fax No. 513-277-8183

Phone No. 513-634-5044

Listed below are the item(s) being submitted with this Certificate of Transmission:**

1) Appeal Brief and Appendices (7 pages)

Inventor(s): Michael C. Raufman, et al.

2) Fee Transmittal (1 page)

S.N.:

10/664,373

3)

Filed:

September 17, 2003

4) 5)

Docket # 7792C

Number of Pages Including this Page: 9 Comments:

**Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, OR this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 2 6 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/664,373

Inventor(s)

Michael C. Raufman, et al.

Filed

September 17, 2003

Art Unit

3761

Examiner

Michele M. Kidwell

Docket No.

7792C

Confirmation No.

4564

Customer No.

27752

Title

Absorbent Articles Having Positioning Indicia

APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

This Brief is filed pursuant to the appeal from the decision communicated in the Office action mailed on August 28, 2006. A timely Notice of Appeal was filed on November 28, 2006.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is The Procter & Gamble Company of Cincinnati, Ohio.

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no known related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected, and claim 3 is cancelled.

Claims 1 and 2 are appealed.

A complete copy of the appealed claims is set forth in the Claims Appendix attached herein.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendment was filed subsequent to the final Office action dated August 28, 2006.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Claim 1 relates to a disposable absorbent article 20 comprising: a chassis 22; a pair of side panels 30, 88; and a predetermined ornamental visual image 102. (See inter alia page 7, 11. 9-32; page 8, 11. 1-14; page 21, 11. 7-23; and Figs. 1 and 8-9).

The chassis 22 has a longitudinal central axis 100 and a garment-facing surface 42 and a body-facing surface 40. The chassis 22 is adapted to extend from a back waist area of a wearer to a front waist area of a wearer with the body-facing surface 40 overlying the crotch area of the wearer. The chassis includes a first waist region 46, a second waist region 44, and a crotch region 48. (See inter alia page 7, 11. 9-23; and Fig. 1).

The pair of side panels 30, 88 extend substantially laterally outwardly from respective edge portions of the second waist region 44 of the article, and the side panels each carrying a securement clement 98 for engagement with the garment-facing surface 42 at the first waist region 46 of the article for securing the article in a wearing position on the body of the wearer. (See inter alia page 7, 11. 9-23; page 21, 11. 7-23; and Figs. 1 and 8-9).

The predetermined ornamental visual image 102 consists of a first image element and a second image element, wherein the first image element is joined to one of the pair of side panels 88 and the second image element is joined to another of the pair of side panels 88. When the side panels 88 are secured to the first waist region 46, the first and the second image elements complete the predetermined ornamental visual image 102, and the predetermined ornamental visual image is visible when the side panels are secured to the first waist region 46. (See inter alia page 21, 11. 7-23; and Figs. 8-9).

GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Whether claims 1 and 2 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,045,543 issued to Pozniak et al. (hereinafter "Pozniak").

ARGUMENTS

Rejection of Claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Pozniak

In the final Office action of August 28, 2006, claims 1 and 2 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Pozniak. A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. (See MPEP § 2131, citing Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987)). There is at least one aspect of the invention defined by claim 1 not taught or suggested by Pozniak.

Independent claim 1 recites a disposable absorbent article comprising, in part, "a pair of side panels" and a "predetermined ornamental visual image consisting of a first image element and a second image element, wherein the first image element is joined to one of the pair of side panels and the second image element is joined to another of the pair of side panels." Claim 1 further recites that "when the side panels are secured to the first waist region the first and second image elements complete the predetermined ornamental visual image." In contrast, Pozniak discloses a personal care article with fastening tabs that can be secured to a front portion of the article. (See col. 2, 11. 16-31). First indicia are located on the front portion of the article, and second indicia are located on the fastening tabs. (See col. 2, 11. 27-38). As such, Pozniak does not teach or suggest a predetermined visual image consisting of a first image element and a second image element that complete the visual image when the side panels are secured to the first waist region, as recited in claim 1.

In the final Office action of August 28, 2006, it is contended that "the indicia lines on the right side, left side and in the middle of the article of Pozniak may be considered first and second image element (sic). When fastened the image elements are conjoined and may be considered as complete as set forth in col. 3, lines 8-19 and figures 2-5." (See final Office action, page 4, lines 7-10). As such, the Office action is equating: (1) the indicia lines on the right side of the article of Pozniak; (2) the indicia lines on the left side of the article of Pozniak; and (3) the indicia lines in the middle of the article of Pozniak with the first and second image elements recited in claim 1. In so doing, the Office action mischaracterizes indicia lines grouped in three different areas of the article in Pozniak

(i.e. a right fastening tab; a left fastening tab; and a front portion of the article) as the first and second image elements defined by the language of claim 1.

It is respectfully submitted that, for at least the reasons discussed above, Pozniak does not disclose or suggest all the elements of claim 1. As such, it is believed that claim 1 is patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Pozniak. Claim 2 depends from and includes all the limitations of claim 1. Thus, for at least the same reasons discussed above with reference to claim 1, claim 2 is patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over Pozniak.

Therefore, it is believed that claims 1 and 2 are in form for allowance and such indication is respectfully requested.

SUMMARY

In view of all of the above, it is respectfully requested that the Board reverse the rejections with respect to claims 1 and 2.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

Charles R. Matson

Registration No. 52,006

(513) 634-0072

Date: January 26, 2007

Customer No. 27752

(Appeal Brief.doc) Revised 04/26/2006

CLAIMS APPENDIX

- 1. (Rejected) A disposable absorbent article comprising:
 - (a) a chassis having a longitudinal central axis and a garment-facing surface and a body-facing surface, the chassis adapted to extend from a back waist area of a wearer to a front waist area of a wearer with the body-facing surface overlying the crotch area of the wearer, the chassis including a first waist region, a second waist region and a crotch region;
 - (b) a pair of side panels extending substantially laterally outwardly from respective edge portions of the second waist region of the article, the side panels each carrying a securement element for engagement with the garmentfacing surface at the first waist region of the article for securing the article in a wearing position on the body of the wearer;
 - (c) a predetermined ornamental visual image consisting of a first image element and a second image element, wherein the first image element is joined to one of the pair of side panels and the second image element is joined to another of the pair of side panels, wherein when the side panels are secured to the first waist region the first and the second image elements complete the predetermined ornamental visual image, and wherein the predetermined ornamental visual image is visible when the side panels are secured to the first waist region.
- (Rejected) An article in accordance with claim 1 wherein the securement elements
 include fastener tabs that have a predetermined ornamental shape.

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

NONE

P.08/09

Appl. No. 10/664,373 Docket No. 7792C Appeal Brief dated January 26, 2007 Reply to Office Action mailed on August 28, 2006 Customer No. 27752

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

NONE

HEUFIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN-26-2007 07:58 PROCTER & GAMBLE

JAN 2 6 2007

513 634 3007

P.09/09

PTO/SB/17 (1-06)

FEE TRANSMITTAL	Complete if Known			
for FY 2007	Application Number	10/664,373		
Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	Confirmation Number	4564		
Effective December 8, 2004	Filing Date	September 17, 2003		
	First Named Inventor	Michael C. Raufman		
	Examiner Name	Michele M. Kidwell		
	Art Unit	3761		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)500	Docket No.	7792C		

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (continued)				
1. [X] The Director is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees	5. ADDITIONAL FEES				
submitted on this form, credit any over payments, and	Fee Description		Fee Paid		
charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application to:	Extension for reply within 1st month	(\$120)	[]		
Deposit Account Number: 16-2480	Extension for reply within 2nd month	(\$450)	0		
Deposit Account Name: The Procter & Gamble Company	Extension for reply within 3rd month	(\$1,020)	D O		
	Extension for reply within 4th month	(\$1,590)	[]		
FEE CALCULATION	Extension for reply within 5th month	(\$2,160)	0		
2. BASIC FILING FEE - Large Entity					
FILING SEARCH EXAMINATION	Information Disclosure Statement fee	(\$180)	0		
FEE FEE FEE					
Application Type Fee Paid	37 CFR 1.16(f) Late Oath/Declaration	(0.10.0)			
	• •	(\$130)	O		
Nonprovisional (\$300) (\$500) (\$200)	37 CFR 1.17 (q) Surcharge - Late provisional				
Utility (Total = \$1000). []		(\$50)	0		
Design (\$200) (\$100) (\$130)	Non-English specification	(\$130)	D		
(Total = \$430) [] Reissue (\$300) (\$500) (\$600)	Notice of Appeal	(\$500)	ก		
(3300) (3300) (3000) (Total = \$1400) []	Trouce of Appear	(0500)	u		
Provisional Utility filing fee (Total = \$200) []	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	(\$500)	[X]		
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE:	Request for oral hearing	(\$1,000)	0		
Sheets of Spec and Drawings					
(\$250 for each 50 sheets in excess of 100, except for	Acceptance of unintentionally delayed claim for price		_		
sequence and program listings)	under 35 U.S.C. 119, 120, 121, or 365 (a) or (c) Other:		_		
SUBTOTAL (2)+(3) (5)[]	Other:	_	D D		
4. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE: Extra Fee from Fee					
Extra ree from ree Claims <u>Below</u> <u>Paid</u>					
Total Claims [] - 20** = [] x [] = []					
Independent Claims (] - 3** = [] x [] = []					
Multiple Dependent claims: [] = []					
** or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below					
Fee Description			ľ		
Claims in excess of 20 (\$50 per claim)					
Independent claims in excess of 3 (\$200 per claim)					
Multiple dependent claim, if not paid (\$360) **Reissue: each independent claim over 3 and more than in the					
original patent (\$200 per claim)					
**Reissue claims: cach claim over 20 and more than original patent (\$50 per claim)					
	CHPTATAL	(5)	(\$) [500]		
SUBTOTAL (4) (\$)[SUBTOTAL	(O)	(0) [300]		

SUBMITTED BY			Com	Complete (if applicable)	
Name (Print/Type)	Charles R. Matson	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	52,006	Telephone	(513) 634-0072
Signature	EN RMA			Date	January 26, 2007

This collection of information is required by 97 CFR 1.17. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is so file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 55 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing

PAGE 9/9 * RCVD AT 1/26/2007 7:27:12 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/15 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:513 634 3007 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-16, P. 09